arXiv:1606.08938v1 [math.DS] 29 Jun 2016

Invariant curves of almost periodic twist mappings

Peng Huang[a], Xiong Li[1a], Bin Liu[2b]

[a]School of Mathematics Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R. China.
[b]School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China.

Abstract

In this paper we are concerned with the existence of invariant curves of planar twist mappings which are almost periodic in a spatial variable. As an application of this result to differential equations we will discuss the existence of almost periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for superlinear Duffing's equation with an almost periodic external force.

Keywords: Almost periodic twist mappings; Invariant curves; Superlinear Duffing's equation; Almost periodic solutions; Boundedness.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the existence of invariant curves of the following planar almost periodic mapping

$$\mathfrak{M}: \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + y + f(x,y), \\ y_1 = y + g(x,y), \end{cases} \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [a,b], \tag{1.1}$$

where the perturbations $f(x,y)$ and $g(x,y)$ are almost periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and admit a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion, respectively.

When the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ in (1.1) is periodic and possesses the intersection property, Moser [13] considered the twist mapping

$$\mathfrak{M}_0: \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + \alpha(y) + \varphi_1(x,y), \\ y_1 = y + \varphi_2(x,y), \end{cases}$$

where the perturbations φ_1, φ_2 are assumed to be small and of periodic 2π in x. He obtained the existence of invariant closed curves of $\mathfrak{M}_0$ which is of class $\mathcal{C}^{333}$.

[1]Corresponding author. Partially supported by the NSFC (11571041) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.
[2]Partially supported by the NSFC (11231001).
URL: hp@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Peng Huang), xli@bnu.edu.cn (Xiong Li), bliu@pku.edu.cn (Bin Liu)

Preprint submitted to

About $\mathfrak{M}_0$, an analytic version of the invariant curve theorem was presented in [20], a version in class $\mathcal{C}^5$ in Rüssmann [19] and a optimal version in class $\mathcal{C}^p$ with $p > 3$ in Herman [3, 4]. Ortega [16] obtained a variant of the small twist theorem and also studied the existence of invariant curves of mappings with average small twist in [17].

When the perturbations $f(x, y), g(x, y)$ in (1.1) are quasi-periodic in x, there are some results about the existence of invariant curves of the following planar quasi-periodic mapping

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 : \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + \alpha + y + f(x, y), \\ x_1 = y + g(x, y), \end{cases} \qquad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [a, b], \tag{1.2}$$

where the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are quasi-periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$, and α is a constant.

When the map $\mathfrak{M}_1$ in (1.2) is an exact symplectic map, $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n, 2\pi\alpha^{-1}$ are sufficiently incommensurable and f, g are real analytic in x and y, Zharnitsky [21] proved the existence of invariant curves of the map $\mathfrak{M}_1$ and applied this result to present the boundedness of all solutions of Fermi-Ulam problem. His proof is based on the Lagrangian approach introduced by Moser [15] and used by Levi and Moser in [9] to show a proof of the twist theorem.

When the map $\mathfrak{M}_1$ in (1.2) is reversible with respect to the involution $\mathcal{G} : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$, that is, $\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{M}_1\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{M}_1^{-1}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n, 2\pi\alpha^{-1}$ satisfy the Diophantine condition

$$\left|\langle k, \omega\rangle \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - j\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau}, \quad \forall \ \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \{0\}, \ \ \forall j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and f, g are real analytic in x and y, Liu [11] obtained some variants of the invariant curve theorem for quasi-periodic reversible mapping $\mathfrak{M}_1$. As an application, he used the invariant curve theorem to investigate the existence of quasi-periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for an asymmetric oscillator depending quasi-periodically on time.

Recently, in [5] we also considered the existence of invariant curves of the planar quasi-periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ in (1.1). Instead of the exact symplecticity or reversibility assumption on $\mathfrak{M}$, this mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ is assumed to be possessing the intersection property, and we obtained the invariant curve theorem for the quasi-periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ in the smooth case, other than analytic case, that is, we assume that this mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^p$ with $p > 2n + 1$ and n is the number of the frequency $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$. We note that when $n = 1$, quasi-periodic mappings are periodic mappings, and the optimal smoothness assumption is $\mathcal{C}^p$ with $p > 3$. Hence our smoothness assumption for quasi-periodic mappings agrees with that for periodic mappings, and is optimal in this sense.

The application to an asymmetric oscillation about this theorem will be in a forthcoming paper [6]. However, the poincaré mapping of the asymmetric

oscillation does not have the form as $\mathfrak{M}$, but has the following expression

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta : \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + \beta + \delta l(x,y) + \delta f(x,y,\delta), \\ y_1 = y + \delta m(x,y) + \delta g(x,y,\delta), \end{cases} \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [a,b], \qquad (1.3)$$

where the functions l, m, f, g are quasi-periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$, $f(x,y,0) = g(x,y,0) = 0$, β is a constant, $0 < \delta < 1$ is a small parameter. Firstly, we [6] established the existence of invariant curves of the planar quasi-periodic mapping $\mathcal{M}_\delta$ on the basis of the invariant curve theorem obtained in [5] when the functions l, m, f, g are smooth functions. After getting those invariant curves theorems, we study the existence of quasi-periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for the asymmetric oscillation.

In this paper we focus on the almost periodic case, that is, the perturbations $f(x,y), g(x,y)$ in (1.1) are almost periodic in x and admit a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion respectively, and we also assume that the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ in (1.1) satisfies the intersection property, and want to establish the invariant curve theorem for almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ in the analytic case.

After we get the invariant curve theorem, as an application, we shall study the existence of almost periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for the following superlinear Duffing's equation

$$\ddot{x} + x^3 = f(t), \qquad (1.4)$$

where $f(t)$ is a real analytic almost periodic function with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ and admits a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion.

It is well known that the longtime behaviour of a time dependent nonlinear differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + f(t,x) = 0, \qquad (1.5)$$

f being periodic in t, can be very intricate. For example, there are equations having unbounded solutions but with infinitely many zeros and with nearby unbounded solution having randomly prescribed numbers of zeros and also periodic solution (see [1]).

In contrast to such unboundedness phenomena one may look for conditions on the nonlinearity, in addition to the superlinear condition that

$$\frac{1}{x} f(t,x) \to \infty \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty,$$

which allow to conclude that all solutions of equation (1.5) are bounded. For example, every solution of equation (1.4) with $p(t+1) = p(t)$ being continuous, is bounded. This result, prompted by Littlewood in [7], is due to Morris [12], who proved that there are infinitely many quasi-periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions of (1.4). In 1987, Dieckerhoff and Zehnder in [1] extended this result to the general superlinear Duffing's equation. For recent development, we refer to [8, 10] and the references therein.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first define real analytic almost periodic functions and their norms, then list some properties of

them, and at last state the main invariant curve theorem (Theorem 2.13) for the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ given by (1.1). The measure estimate and the proof of Theorem 2.13 are given in Sections 3, 4, 5 respectively. The small twist theorem is given in Section 6. In Section 7, we will prove the existence of almost periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for superlinear Duffing's equation (1.4) with an almost periodic external force.

2. Real analytic almost periodic functions and the main result

2.1. The space of real analytic almost periodic functions

Our aim is to find almost periodic solutions x for superlinear Duffing's equation (1.4) which admit a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion, thus we have to define the space of a kind of real analytic almost periodic functions.

We first define the space of real analytic quasi-periodic functions $Q(\omega)$ as in [20, chapter 3], here the n-dimensional frequency vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$ is rationally independent, that is, for any $k = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n) \neq 0$, $\langle k, \omega \rangle = \sum k_j \omega_j \neq 0$.

Definition 2.1. *A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called real analytic quasi-periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$, if there exists a real analytic function*

$$F : \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that $f(t) = F(\omega_1 t, \omega_2 t, \cdots, \omega_n t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where F is 2π-periodic in each variable and bounded in a complex neighborhood $\Pi_r^n = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\text{Im } \theta_j| \leq r, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some $r > 0$. Here we call $F(\theta)$ the shell function of $f(t)$.

Denote by $Q(\omega)$ the set of real analytic quasi-periodic functions with the frequency $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$. Given $f(t) \in Q(\omega)$, the shell function $F(\theta)$ of $f(t)$ admits a Fourier series expansion

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_k e^{i\langle k, \theta \rangle},$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)$, k_j range over all integers and the coefficients f_k decay exponentially with $|k| = |k_1| + |k_2| + \cdots + |k_n|$, then $f(t)$ can be represented as a Fourier series of the type from the definition,

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_k e^{i\langle k, \omega \rangle t}.$$

In the following we define the norm of the real analytic quasi-periodic function $f(t)$ through that of the corresponding shell function F.

Definition 2.2. *For $r > 0$, let $Q_r(\omega) \subseteq Q(\omega)$ be the set of real analytic quasi-periodic functions f such that the corresponding shell functions F are bounded on the subset Π_r^n with the supremum norm*

$$\big|F\big|_r = \sup_{\theta\in\Pi_r^n} |F(\theta)| = \sup_{\theta\in\Pi_r^n} \Big|\sum_k f_k e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\Big| < +\infty.$$

Thus we define $\big|f\big|_r := \big|F\big|_r$.

Similarly, one can give the definition of real analytic almost periodic functions with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}}$, which is a bilateral infinite sequence of rationally independent frequency, that is to say, any finite segments of ω are rationally independent. For this purpose, we first define analytic functions on some infinite dimensional space (see [2]).

Definition 2.3. *Let X be a complex Banach space. A function $f : U \subseteq X \to \mathbb{C}$, where U is an open subset of X, is called analytic if f is continuous on U, and $f|_{U\cap X_1}$ is analytic in the classical sense as a function of several complex variables for each finite dimensional subspace X_1 of X.*

Note that for the bilateral infinite sequence of rationally independent frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\langle k, \omega\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} k_\lambda \omega_\lambda,$$

where due to the spatial structure of the perturbation k runs over integer vectors whose support

$$\operatorname{supp} k = \big\{\lambda \ : \ k_\lambda \neq 0\big\}$$

is a finite set of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.4. *A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called real analytic almost periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if there exists a real analytic function*

$$F : \theta = (\cdots, \theta_\lambda, \cdots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{R},$$

which admit a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} F_A(\theta),$$

where

$$F_A(\theta) = \sum_{supp\, k\subseteq A} f_k\, e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle},$$

and $\mathcal{S}$ is a family of finite subsets A of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{A\in\mathcal{S}} A$, $\langle k, \theta\rangle = \sum\limits_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} k_\lambda\theta_\lambda$, such that $f(t) = F(\omega t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where F is 2π-periodic in each variable and bounded in a complex neighborhood $\Pi_r = \Big\{\theta = (\cdots, \theta_\lambda, \cdots) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \ |\operatorname{Im}\theta|_\infty \leq r\Big\}$ for some $r > 0$, where $|\operatorname{Im}\theta|_\infty = \sup\limits_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} |\operatorname{Im}\theta_\lambda|$. Here $F(\theta)$ is called the shell function of $f(t)$.

Suppose that the function $f(t)$ has the Fourier exponents $\{\Lambda_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and its basis is $\{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, Λ_λ can be expressed into

$$\Lambda_\lambda = r_{\lambda_1}\omega_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + r_{\lambda_{j(\lambda)}}\omega_{\lambda_{j(\lambda)}},$$

where $r_{\lambda_1}, \cdots, r_{\lambda_{j(\lambda)}}$ are rational numbers. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{j(\lambda)}) : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Thus, this family $\mathcal{S}$ is not totally arbitrary. Rather, $\mathcal{S}$ has to be a spatial structure on $\mathbb{Z}$ characterized by the property that the union of any two sets in $\mathcal{S}$ is again in $\mathcal{S}$, if they intersect :

$$A,\ B \in \mathcal{S}, \quad A \cap B \neq \varnothing \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Moreover, we define

$$\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} := \Big\{k = (\cdots, k_\lambda, \cdots) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}} :\ \operatorname{supp} k \subseteq A,\ A \in \mathcal{S}\Big\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Denote by $AP(\omega)$ the set of real analytic almost periodic functions with the frequency ω which are given by Definition 2.4. From the definition, given $f(t) \in AP(\omega)$, the shell function $F(\theta)$ admits a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} F_A(\theta),$$

where

$$F_A(\theta) = \sum_{\operatorname{supp} k \subseteq A} f_k\, e^{i\langle k, \theta\rangle}.$$

From the definitions of the support $\operatorname{supp} k$ and A, we know that $F_A(\theta)$ is real analytic and 2π-periodic in $\big\{\theta_\lambda \ :\ \lambda \in A\big\}$.

As a consequence of the definitions of $\mathcal{S}$ and the support $\operatorname{supp} k$ of k and $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the Fourier series of the shell function $F(\theta)$ has another form

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k e^{i\langle k, \theta\rangle},$$

then $f(t)$ can be represented as a Fourier series of the type

$$f(t) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{\operatorname{supp} k \subseteq A} f_k e^{i\langle k, \omega\rangle t}, \qquad \langle k, \omega\rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} k_\lambda \omega_\lambda$$

or

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k e^{i\langle k, \omega\rangle t}.$$

If we define

$$f_A(t) = \sum_{\operatorname{supp} k \subseteq A} f_k\, e^{i\langle k, \omega\rangle t},$$

then

$$f(t) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} f_A(t).$$

From the definitions of the support $\operatorname{supp} k$ and A, we know that $f_A(t)$ is a real analytic quasi-periodic function with the frequency $\omega_A = \{\omega_\lambda \ : \ \lambda \in A\}$.

2.2. The norms of real analytic almost periodic functions

In the following we will give a kind of the norm for real analytic almost periodic functions. Before we describe the norm, some more definitions and notations are useful.

The main ingredient of our perturbation theory is a nonnegative weight function

$$[\,\cdot\,] \ : \quad A \ \mapsto \ [A]$$

defined on $\mathcal{S}$. The weight of a subset may reflect its size, its location or something else. This, however, is immaterial for the perturbation theory itself. Here only the properties of monotonicity and subadditivity are required :

$$A \subseteq B \quad \Rightarrow \quad [A] \le [B],$$

$$A \cap B \neq \varnothing \quad \Rightarrow \quad [A \cup B] + [A \cap B] \le [A] + [B]$$

for all $A,\ B$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Throughout this paper, we always use the following weight function

$$[A] = 1 + \sum_{i \in A} log^{\varrho}(1 + |i|),$$

where $\varrho > 2$ is a constant.

Now we can define the norm of the real analytic almost periodic function $f(t)$ through that of the corresponding shell function F just like in the quasi-periodic case.

Definition 2.5. *Let $AP_r(\omega) \subseteq AP(\omega)$ be the set of real analytic almost periodic functions f such that the corresponding shell functions F are bounded on the subset Π_r with the norm*

$$\|F\|_{m,r} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} |F_A|_r \, e^{m[A]} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} |f_A|_r \, e^{m[A]} < +\infty,$$

where $m > 0$ is a constant and

$$|F_A|_r = \sup_{\theta \in \Pi_r} |F_A(\theta)| = \sup_{\theta \in \Pi_r} \left| \sum_{supp\, k \subseteq A} f_k \, e^{i\langle k, \theta \rangle} \right| = |f_A|_r.$$

Hence we define

$$\|f\|_{m,r} := \|F\|_{m,r}.$$

If $f(\cdot,y)\in AP_r(\omega)$, and the corresponding shell functions $F(\theta,y)$ are real analytic in the domain $D(r,s)=\left\{(\theta,y)\in\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}\times\mathbb{C}\ :\ |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|_\infty<r,|y-\alpha|<s\right\}$ with some $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\|f\|_{m,r,s}=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}}|F_A|_{r,s}\,e^{m[A]}=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}}|f_A|_{r,s}\,e^{m[A]},$$

where

$$|F_A|_{r,s}=\sup_{(\theta,y)\in D(r,s)}|F_A(\theta,y)|=\sup_{(\theta,y)\in D(r,s)}\left|\sum_{supp\,k\subseteq A}f_k(y)\,e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right|=|f_A|_{r,s}.$$

2.3. The properties of real analytic almost periodic functions

In the following some properties of real analytic almost periodic functions are given.

Lemma 2.6. *The following statements are true:*
(i) Let $f(t),g(t)\in AP(\omega)$, then $f(t)\pm g(t),g(t+f(t))\in AP(\omega)$;
(ii) Let $f(t)\in AP(\omega)$ and $\tau=\beta t+f(t)$ $(\beta+f'>0)$, then the inverse relation is given by $t=\beta^{-1}\tau+g(\tau)$ and $g\in AP(\omega/\beta)$. In particular, if $\beta=1$, then $g\in AP(\omega)$.

Proof. One can use a similar way in [20, chapter 3] to prove this lemma.
(i) It is easy to see that $f(t)\pm g(t)\in AP(\omega)$. Now we prove $g(t+f(t))\in AP(\omega)$. We claim that if the shell functions of $f(t),g(t)$ are $F(\theta),G(\theta)$ respectively, from the definitions of the shell function and ω, we know that $\omega F(\theta)$ and θ is of the same dimension, then the shell function of $g(t+f(t))$ is $G(\theta+\omega F(\theta))$. Indeed, since $f(t),g(t)\in AP(\omega)$, then we have

$$f(t)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}f_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t},\ F(\theta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}f_ke^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}$$

and

$$g(t)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t},\ G(\theta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}g(t+f(t)) &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle(t+f(t))}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t+i\langle k,\omega\rangle f(t)}\\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t+i\langle k,\omega\rangle\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}f_ke^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t}}.\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the shell function, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle+i\langle k,\omega\rangle\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}f_ke^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}} &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle+i\langle k,\omega\rangle F(\theta)}\\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}}g_ke^{i\langle k,\theta+\omega F(\theta)\rangle}=G(\theta+\omega F(\theta))\end{aligned}$$

is the shell function of $g(t+f(t))$.
(ii) To prove this assertion, we may assume that $\beta = 1$, or else replace τ by $\beta\tau$. If $f(t)$ is represented by its shell function $F(\theta)$ and the unknown function $g(\tau)$ by $G(\theta)$, where $g(\tau) = G(\omega\tau)$, then

$$G(\theta) + F(\theta + \omega G) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We replace this equation by

$$G + \sigma F(\theta + \omega G) = 0$$

and seek a solution $G = G(\theta;\sigma)$ for $0 \le \sigma \le 1$ with period 2π in each of the variables θ_λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$). Differentiating this last equation with respect to σ, we are led to the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} = \phi(\theta + \omega G, \sigma), \quad G(\theta, 0) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\phi(\theta,\sigma) = -F(\theta)\left(1 + \sigma \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_\lambda F_{\theta_\lambda}\right)^{-1}.$$

By the assumption on $\beta + f'$, the denominator on the right of the last expression is bounded away from 0 when $\sigma = 1$ and $\theta_\lambda = \omega_\lambda t$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$), and is indeed positive. On the other hand, the vectors with components $\omega_\lambda t + 2k_\lambda\pi$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$) for integer k_λ and real t are dense in $\mathbb{Z}$-dimensional Euclidean space, and therefore the denominator is actually positive and bounded away from 0 for all real θ and $0 \le \sigma \le 1$. It follows that $\phi(\theta,\sigma)$ is real analytic and of period 2π in θ_λ.

The solution $G(\theta,\sigma)$ of (2.3) is now constructed by means of the standard existence theorem for ordinary differential equations. To show that $G(\theta,\sigma)$ is analytic for $|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|_\infty$ sufficiently small and for $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, it suffices to verify that as we continue the solution we do not leave the region of analyticity of the differential equation. Assuming that ϕ is analytic in $|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|_\infty < \tilde{\delta}$, $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, where it satisfies $\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} |\phi_{\theta_\lambda}| < M$, we set

$$\tilde{\varrho} = \tilde{\delta} e^{-M|\omega|_\infty},$$

where $|\omega|_\infty = \sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} |\omega_\lambda| < +\infty$, and claim that in the region $|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|_\infty < \tilde{\varrho}$, $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, the function $G(\theta,\sigma)$ satisfies the estimate $\tilde{\varrho} + |\omega|_\infty |\mathrm{Im}\,G| < \tilde{\delta}$. This, of course, will imply that the solution exists and is analytic in this region. We now verify the claim. Suppose the estimate $\tilde{\varrho} + |\omega|_\infty |\mathrm{Im}\,G| < \tilde{\delta}$ does not hold over the entire interval $0 \le \sigma \le 1$ as we continue the solution. Since it certainly holds initially, there would exist a smallest number $0 < \sigma^* \le 1$ for which

$$\sup_\theta |\mathrm{Im}\,G| \ge \frac{\tilde{\delta} - \tilde{\varrho}}{|\omega|_\infty} \quad (\sigma = \sigma^*),$$

which means that the solution $G(\theta,\sigma)$ of (2.3) exists for $0 \le \sigma < \sigma^*$.

Since ϕ is real analytic, we have $\overline{\phi(\theta,\sigma)} = \phi(\bar{\theta},\sigma)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\mathrm{Im}\, G\right| &= \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}(G-\bar{G})\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big|\phi(\theta+\omega G,\sigma)-\phi(\bar{\theta}+\omega\bar{G},\sigma)\Big| \\ &\le M\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta_\lambda+\omega_\lambda \mathrm{Im}\, G| \\ &< M(\tilde{\varrho}+|\omega|_\infty|\mathrm{Im}\, G|)\end{aligned}$$

is valid for $0 \le \sigma < \sigma^*$. By a comparison argument we would then conclude that

$$|\mathrm{Im}\, G| < h(\sigma) \quad (0 < \sigma \le \sigma^*),$$

where $h(\sigma)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\frac{dh}{d\sigma} = M(\tilde{\varrho}+|\omega|_\infty h), \quad h(0)=0.$$

And since

$$h(\sigma^*) = \frac{\tilde{\varrho}}{|\omega|_\infty}\Big(e^{M|\omega|_\infty\sigma^*}-1\Big) \le \frac{\tilde{\varrho}}{|\omega|_\infty}\Big(e^{M|\omega|_\infty}-1\Big) = \frac{\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{\varrho}}{|\omega|_\infty},$$

we would have

$$|\mathrm{Im}\, G| < \frac{\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{\varrho}}{|\omega|_\infty} \quad (\sigma=\sigma^*),$$

contrary to the choice of σ^*. This verifies our claim, and we can conclude that the solution $G(\theta,\sigma)$ of (2.3) exists for $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, is real analytic, and by the uniqueness theorem, has period 2π in the θ_λ. It is easy to verify that $G = G(\theta,1)$ is the solution of our original equation (2.2). Thus $g(\tau) = G(\omega\tau,1)$ belongs to $AP(\omega)$, and the assertion about the form of the inverse function is proved. □

2.4. The frequency of the perturbations

In this paper, the frequency $\omega = (\cdots,\omega_\lambda,\cdots)$ of the perturbations is not only rationally independent with $|\omega|_\infty = \sup\{|\omega_\lambda| : \lambda\in\mathbb{Z}\} < +\infty$, but also it satisfies the strongly nonresonant condition.

In a crucial fashion the weight function determines the nonresonance conditions for the small divisors arising in this theory. As we will do later on by way of an appropriate norm, it suffices to estimate these small divisors from below not only in terms of the norm of k

$$|k| = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}}|k_\lambda|,$$

but also in terms of the weight of its support

$$[[k]] = \min_{\operatorname{supp} k \subseteq A \in \mathcal{S}} [A].$$

Then the nonresonance conditions read

$$|\langle k, \omega \rangle| \geq \tfrac{c}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}, \quad 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where, as usual, c is a positive parameter and Δ some fixed approximation function as described in the following. One and the same approximation function is taken here in both places for simplicity, since the generalization is straightforward. A nondecreasing function $\Delta : [1, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ is called an approximation function, if

$$\frac{\log \Delta(t)}{t} \searrow 0, \quad 1 \leq t \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\log \Delta(t)}{t^2}\, dt < \infty.$$

In addition, the normalization $\Delta(1) = 1$ is imposed for definiteness.

In the following we will give a criterion for the existence of strongly nonresonant frequencies. It is based on growth conditions on the distribution function

$$N_n(t) = \operatorname{card} \left\{ A \in \mathcal{S} \ : \ \operatorname{card}(A) = n, \ [A] \leq t \right\}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *There exist a constant N_0 and an approximation function Φ such that*

$$N_n(t) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & t < t_n \\ \\ N_0 \Phi(t), & t \geq t_n \end{cases}$$

with a sequence of real numbers t_n satisfying

$$n \log^{\varrho - 1} n \leq t_n \sim n \, \log^{\varrho} n$$

for n large with some exponent $\varrho - 1 > 1$, we say $a_n \sim b_n$, if there are two constants c, C such that $ca_n \leq b_n \leq Ca_n$ and c, C are independent of n.

Proof. We note that the weight function is

$$[A] = 1 + \sum_{i \in A} \log^{\varrho}(1 + |i|), \quad \varrho > 2.$$

Let $B_m = \{i : |i| \leq m\}$, then we have $[B_m] \sim |B_m| \log^{\varrho} |B_m|$, where $|B_m| = \operatorname{card}(B_m)$, and B_m has the lowest weight among all sets with the same number of elements. It follows that

$$N_n(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \leq t_n \sim n \log^{\varrho} n.$$

Next, let $t \geq 0$ be arbitrary, and consider the collection of all sets A with n elements and weight not bigger than t. Picking any element from A with weight $0 \leq t - s \leq t$, the remaining $n-1$ elements have total weight not bigger than s. This leads to the estimate

$$N_n(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t W(t-s) dN_{n-1}(s),$$

where W is any continuous function bounding N_1 from above. Integrating by parts and assuming that $W(0) = 0$ the role of W and N_{n-1} can be interchanged. And proceeding by induction, we obtain

$$N_n(t) \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int\limits_{t_1+\cdots+t_n \leq t} dW(t_1) \cdots dW(t_n).$$

Now choose $W(t) = \kappa e^{t^\mu} - \kappa$ with $\mu = \varrho^{-1}$ and a suitable constant $\kappa \geq 2$. Then

$$N_n(t) \leq \frac{\kappa^n}{n!} \int\limits_{t_1+\cdots+t_n \leq t} \exp(t_1^\mu + \cdots + t_n^\mu) dt_1^\mu \ldots dt_n^\mu.$$

On the domain of integration, $t_1^\mu + \cdots + t_n^\mu \leq n^{1-\mu}(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)^\mu \leq n^{1-\mu} t^\mu$, while the integral of $dt_1^\mu \ldots dt_n^\mu$ over $[0,t]^n$ is bounded by $t^{\mu n}$. Hence,

$$N_n(t) \leq \frac{\kappa^n t^{\mu n}}{n!} \exp(n^{1-\mu} t^\mu) \leq \exp(\kappa t^\mu) \exp(n^{1-\mu} t^\mu).$$

Finally, to eliminate the dependence on n for large n, recall that $t \geq t_n \sim n \log^\varrho n$. Hence, $n \sim t_n \log^\varrho t_n \leq t / \log^\varrho t$ for $t \geq t_n$ and so

$$n^{1-\mu} t^\mu \lesssim \frac{t}{\log^{\varrho-1} t}, \quad \varrho(1-\mu) = \varrho - 1 > 1.$$

This shows that $N_n(t)$ is bounded from above by a constant multiple of a fixed approximation function independently of n as required by Lemma 2.7. □

According to Lemma 2.7, there exist an approximation function Δ_0 and a probability measure μ on the parameter space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with support at any prescribed point such that the measure of the set of ω satisfying the following inequalities

$$|\langle k, \omega \rangle| \geq \tfrac{c}{\Delta_0([[k]]) \Delta_0(|k|)}, \qquad c > 0, \ \forall\ 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{2.6}$$

is positive for a suitably small c, the proof can be found in [18], we omit it here.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ satisfies the nonresonance condition (2.6).

2.5. The main result

We choose a rotation number α satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} a + \gamma \leq \alpha \leq b - \gamma, \\ \left| \langle k, \omega \rangle \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - j \right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]]) \Delta(|k|)}, \qquad \text{for all} \ \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \{0\}, \ \ j \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

with some positive constant γ, where Δ is some approximation function (see Theorem 3.4 below).

Definition 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the mapping given by (1.1). It is said that $\mathfrak{M}$ has the intersection property if*

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{\Gamma}) \cap \mathbf{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$$

for every curve $\mathbf{\Gamma} : x = \xi + \varphi(\xi),\ y = \psi(\xi)$, where φ and ψ are real analytic and almost periodic in ξ with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$.

Definition 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the mapping given by (1.1). We say that $\mathfrak{M} : \mathbb{R} \times [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is exact symplectic if $\mathfrak{M}$ is symplectic with respect to the usual symplectic structure $dy \wedge dx$ and for every curve $\mathbf{\Gamma} : x = \xi + \varphi(\xi),\ y = \psi(\xi)$, where φ and ψ are real analytic and almost periodic in ξ with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$, we have*

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} y dx = \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} y_1 dx_1.$$

We claim that if the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ is an exact symplectic map, then it has intersection property. In order to prove this result, we first give an useful lemma and some properties of generating functions of almost periodic monotonic twist maps.

Lemma 2.10. *If $y = y(x)$ is real analytic almost periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ and $F(x, y)$ is real analytic almost periodic in x with the same frequency, then $F(x, y(x))$ is also real analytic almost periodic in x with the same frequency.*

Proof. We claim that the shell functions of $y(x), F(x, y)$ are $Y(\theta), \widetilde{F}(\theta, y)$ respectively, then the shell function of $F(x, y(x))$ is $\widetilde{F}(\theta, Y(\theta))$. Indeed, since $y(x), F(x, y) \in AP(\omega)$, then we have

$$y(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} y_k e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x},\ Y(\theta) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} y_k e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}$$

and

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} F_k(y) e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x},\ \widetilde{F}(\theta, y) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} F_k(y) e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}.$$

Hence,

$$F(x, y(x)) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} F_k\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} y_k e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x}\right) e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x}.$$

From the definition of the shell function, we know that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} F_k\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} y_k e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right) e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} F_k(Y(\theta)) e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle} = \widetilde{F}(\theta, Y(\theta))$$

is the shell function of $F(x, y(x))$. $\square$

In the proof of the intersection property of the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$, we will use the generating function of the almost periodic monotonic twist map. Here, we describe its properties.

Consider an area-preserving almost periodic monotonic twist map defined in a horizontal strip $\mathbb{R}^1 \times I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows :

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^1 \times I \to \mathbb{R}^2 : \quad \begin{aligned} x_2 &= x_1 + \phi_1(x_1, y_1), \\ y_2 &= \phi_2(x_1, y_1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\phi_1(x_1, y_1), \phi_2(x_1, y_1) \in AP(\omega)$ as functions of x_1. Using the monotonic twist condition $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1}(x_1, y_1) > 0$, we invert the first equation of (2.8) and substitute it into the second equation of (2.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \psi_1(x_2 - x_1, x_1), \\ y_2 &= \psi_2(x_2 - x_1, x_1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where ψ_1 is the inverse of ϕ_1 and $\psi_2 = \phi_2\big(x_1, \psi_1(x_2 - x_1, x_1)\big)$.

By (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1 = \tfrac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1} \tfrac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2}, \\ &1 + \tfrac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} + \tfrac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1} \tfrac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} = 0, \\ &\tfrac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_1} = \tfrac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} + \tfrac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} \tfrac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} \\ &\tfrac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_2} = \tfrac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} \tfrac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1}(x_1, y_1) > 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1}} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} \Big(-1 - \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} \Big) \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1}} \left(1 - \left[\Big(1 + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} \Big) \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the area preservation property of ϕ, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} \end{vmatrix} = \Big(1 + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} \Big) \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y_1} = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_1} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, there exists a generating function $h(x_1, x_2)$ defining the map implicitly

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= -h_{x_1}(x_1, x_2), \\ y_2 &= h_{x_2}(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

with $h_{12} < 0$, defined in

$$\Omega = \Big\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ a(x_1) < x_2 < b(x_1)\Big\}$$

corresponding to the domain of definition of the map.

Integrating the vector field (ψ_1, ψ_2) along a path from some point $(x_{01}, x_{02}) \in \Omega$ to $(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$ and using the nonresonance condition (2.6), we obtain the generating function h is of the form

$$h(x_1, x_2) = \beta x_1 + H(x_2 - x_1, x_1), \tag{2.13}$$

where H is real analytic almost periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ in the second variable. When the map ϕ is exact, then $\beta = 0$.

Now we are going to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11. *If the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ given by (1.1) is an exact symplectic map, then it has intersection property.*

Proof. Since the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ given by (1.1) is an exact symplectic map and it is also a monotonic twist map, from the above, there is a generating function H such that the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ can be rewritten by

$$y = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H(x_1 - x, x), \quad y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} H(x_1 - x, x), \tag{2.14}$$

where H is real analytic almost periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ in the second variable.

Now we prove the intersection property of the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$, that is, given any continuous almost periodic curve $\Gamma : y = y(x)$, we need to prove that $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Define two sets $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{B}_1$: the set $\mathbb{B}$ is bounded by four curves $\big\{(x, y) : x = t\big\}$, $\big\{(x, y) : x = T\big\}$, $\big\{(x, y) : y = y_*\big\}$ and $\big\{(x, y) : y = y(x)\big\}$, the set $\mathbb{B}_1$ is bounded by four curves $\big\{(x, y) : x = t\big\}$, $\big\{(x, y) : x = T\big\}$, $\big\{(x, y) : y = y_*\big\}$ and the image of Γ under $\mathfrak{M}$. Here we choose $y_* < \min\limits_{t \le x \le T} y(x)$ and y_* is smaller than the image of Γ under $\mathfrak{M}$ as $t \le x \le T$. It is easy to show that the difference of the areas of $\mathbb{B}_1$ and $\mathbb{B}$ is

$$\Delta(t, T) = \int_t^T y_1 dx_1 - \int_t^T y dx = H(x_1(T) - T, T) - H(x_1(t) - t, t).$$

From the definition of $\mathfrak{M}$ and Lemma 2.10, we know that $x_1(T) - T = y(T) + f(T, y(T))$ is almost periodic in T and $x_1(t) - t = y(t) + f(t, y(t))$ is almost periodic in t. Hence using Lemma 2.10 again, it follows that $\Delta(t, T)$ is almost periodic in t and T.

Hence there are at least two pairs of (t_1, T_1) and (t_2, T_2) such that $\Delta(t_1, T_1) < 0, \Delta(t_2, T_2) > 0$. The intersection property of $\mathfrak{M}$ follows from this fact, which proves the lemma. □

Remark 2.12. *The intersection property is more flexible than exact symplecticity. For example, if a mapping $\mathcal{M}$ has the intersection property, then the transformed mapping of $\mathcal{M}$ under a diffeomorphism has also the intersection property.*

Now we are in a position to state our main result.

Theorem 2.13. *Suppose that the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ given by (1.1) has the intersection property, and for every y, $f(\cdot, y), g(\cdot, y) \in AP_r(\omega)$ with ω satisfying the nonresonance condition (2.6), and the corresponding shell functions $F(\theta, y), G(\theta, y)$ are real analytic in the domain $D(r, s) = \left\{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}\theta|_\infty < r, |y - \alpha| < s\right\}$ with α satisfying (2.7). Then for each positive $\bar{\varepsilon}$, there is a positive $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\bar{\varepsilon}, r, s, m, \gamma, \Delta)$ such that if f, g satisfy the following smallness condition*

$$\|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s} < \varepsilon_0,$$

then the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ has an invariant curve $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ with the form

$$\begin{cases} x = x' + \varphi(x'), \\ y = \psi(x'), \end{cases}$$

where φ, ψ are almost periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$, and the invariant curve $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ is of the form $y = \phi(x)$ with $\phi \in AP_{r'}(\omega)$ for some $r' < r$, and $\|\phi - \alpha\|_{m',r'} < \bar{\varepsilon},\ 0 < m' < m$. Moreover, the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}$ onto $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ is

$$\mathfrak{M}|_{\mathbf{\Gamma_0}} : x_1' = x' + \alpha.$$

Remark 2.14. *If all the conditions of Theorem 2.13 hold, then the mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ has many invariant curves $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ which can be labeled by the form*

$$\mathfrak{M}|_{\mathbf{\Gamma_0}} : x_1' = x' + \alpha$$

of the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}$ onto $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$. In fact, given any α satisfying the inequalities (2.7), there exists an invariant curve $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ which is almost periodic with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$, and the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}$ onto $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{M}|_{\mathbf{\Gamma_0}} : x_1' = x' + \alpha.$$

3. The measure estimate

In this section the measure estimate of the rotation numbers α satisfying inequalities (2.7) will be given. Firstly, we give some useful lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 2 in [18]). *For every given approximation function Θ, there exists an approximation function Δ such that*

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S},|A|=n}\frac{1}{\Delta([A])}\le\frac{2N_0}{\Theta(t_n)},\quad n\ge 1,$$

where N_0, t_n are given in Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 4 in [18]). *There is an approximation function Δ such that*

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\frac{1}{\Delta(|l|)}\le K^{n\log\log n}$$

for all sufficiently large n with some constant K, where $l=(l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n)$ and $|l|=|l_1|+|l_2|+\cdots+|l_n|$.

Remark 3.3. *From the proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we know that there is the same approximation function Δ such that Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 hold simultaneously. The detail proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 can be found in [18].*

Theorem 3.4. *There is an approximation function Δ such that for suitable γ, the set of α satisfying (2.7) has positive measure.*

Proof. Choose the frequency $\omega=(\cdots,\omega_\lambda,\cdots)$ satisfying the nonresonance condition (2.6) and let $\mathcal{D}_\gamma^\omega$ denote the set of all $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (2.7) with the fixed γ. Then $\mathcal{D}_\gamma^\omega$ is the complement of the open dense set $\mathcal{R}_\gamma^\omega$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\gamma^\omega &= \bigcup_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}\setminus\{0\}\\ j\in\mathbb{Z}}}\mathcal{R}_{\omega,\gamma}^{k,j}\\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}\setminus\{0\}\\ j\in\mathbb{Z}}}\Big\{\alpha\in[a+\gamma,b-\gamma]:\big|\langle k,\omega\rangle\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}-j\big|<\frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the measure of the set $\mathcal{R}_{\omega,\gamma}^{k,j}$. Since $k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}\setminus\{0\}$, set $|k_{\max}|=\max\limits_{\lambda\in\operatorname{supp}k}|k_\lambda|$, then there exists some $m\in\operatorname{supp}k$ such that $|k_m|=|k_{\max}|$, and $1\le\frac{|k|}{|k_{\max}|}\le|\operatorname{supp}k|$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\omega,\gamma}^{k,j} &= \Big\{\alpha\in[a+\gamma,b-\gamma]:\big|\langle k,\omega\rangle\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}-j\big|<\frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\Big\}\\ &= \Big\{\alpha\in[a+\gamma,b-\gamma]:\big|k_{\max}\omega_m\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}+\sum_{\lambda\neq m}k_\lambda\omega_\lambda\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}-j\big|<\frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\Big\}\\ &= \Big\{\alpha\in[a+\gamma,b-\gamma]:|k_{\max}||\omega_m||\alpha+b_j|<\frac{2\pi\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\Big\}\\ &= \Big\{\alpha\in[a+\gamma,b-\gamma]:-b_j-\delta_k<\alpha<-b_j+\delta_k\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where $b_j = \frac{1}{k_{\max}\omega_m}\Big\{\sum_{\lambda\neq m} k_\lambda\omega_\lambda\alpha - 2\pi j\Big\}$ and $\delta_k = \frac{2\pi\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\,\frac{1}{|k_{\max}||\omega_m|}$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{meas}\big(\mathcal{R}^{k,j}_{\omega,\gamma}\big) \le 2\delta_k = \frac{4\pi\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\,\frac{1}{|k_{\max}||\omega_m|} = \frac{4\pi\gamma}{|k|\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\,\frac{|k|}{|k_{\max}|}\,\frac{1}{|\omega_m|}.$$

Since $1 \le \frac{|k|}{k_{\max}} \le |\mathrm{supp}\, k|$, then we have the following measure estimate

$$\gamma^{-1}\mathrm{meas}\big(\mathcal{R}^{k,j}_{\omega,\gamma}\big) \le \frac{C_0}{|k|\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}$$

with some positive constant C_0.

Next we estimate the measure of the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}_{\gamma}$. Since for $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^{k,j}_{\omega,\gamma}$,

$$\big|\langle k,\omega\rangle\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - j\big| < \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)},$$

then we have

$$|j| \le \big|\langle k,\omega\rangle\big|\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)} \le c_0|k|,$$

where c_0 is a constant independent of k. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{-1}\mathrm{meas}(\mathcal{R}^{\omega}_{\gamma}) &\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}_0\setminus\{0\}}\ \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathbb{Z}\\ |j|\le c_0|k|}} \gamma^{-1}\mathrm{meas}\big(\mathcal{R}^{k,j}_{\omega,\gamma}\big)\\
&\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}_0\setminus\{0\}}\ \sum_{\substack{j\in\mathbb{Z}\\ |j|\le c_0|k|}} \frac{C_0}{|k|\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)} \le C_1 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}_0\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}\\
&\le C_1\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta([A])}\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{supp}\, k\subseteq A\\ k\neq 0}}\frac{1}{\Delta(|k|)}\right)\\
&\le C_1\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left\{\left(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S},|A|=n}\frac{1}{\Delta([A])}\right)\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{\Delta(|l|)}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

with some positive constant C_1. Thus the sum is broken up with respect to the cardinality and the weight of the spatial components of $\mathcal{S}$. Each of these factors is now studied separately. By applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S},|A|=n}\frac{1}{\Delta([A])} \le \frac{2N_0}{\Theta(t_n)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

From Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{\Delta(|l|)} \le K^{n\log\log n}.$$

Summarizing all our estimates so far we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{-1}\mathrm{meas}(\mathcal{R}_\gamma^\omega) &\leq C_1\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left\{\left(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S},|A|=n}\frac{1}{\Delta([A])}\right)\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{\Delta(|l|)}\right\}\\ &\leq C+C\sum_{n=n_0}^{+\infty}\frac{K^{n\log\log n}}{\Theta(t_n)}\end{aligned}$$

with some constant C and n_0 so large that $t_n \geq n\log^{\varrho-1} n$ for $n \geq n_0, \varrho > 2$ by hypotheses. Here we are still free to choose a suitable approximation function Θ, and choose

$$\Theta(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\log t\log^{\varrho-1}\log t}\right), \quad t > e, \varrho > 2,$$

the infinite sum does converge. Thus there is an approximation function Δ such that

$$\gamma^{-1}\mathrm{meas}(\mathcal{R}_\gamma^\omega) < +\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{meas}(\mathcal{R}_{\gamma,\tau}^\omega) \leq O(\gamma)$$

and

$$\mathrm{meas}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma,\tau}^\omega) \to b-a \qquad \text{as} \qquad \gamma\to 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. □

4. The KAM step

The proof of Theorem 2.13 is based on the KAM approach, is to find a sequence of changes of variables such that the transformed mapping of $\mathfrak{M}$ will be closer to

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = x + y, \\ y_1 = y \end{cases}$$

than the previous one in the narrower domain. In the following, we will give a construction of such transformation.

4.1. Constructions of u, v

In this subsection, we shall construct a change of variables $\mathfrak{U}$

$$\begin{cases} x = \xi + u(\xi,\eta), \\ y = \eta + v(\xi,\eta), \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where u and v are real analytic and almost periodic in ξ. Under this transformation, the original mapping $\mathfrak{M}$ is changed into the form

$$\mathfrak{U}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{U} \ : \ \begin{cases} \xi_1 = \xi + \eta + f_+(\xi,\eta), \\ \eta_1 = \eta + g_+(\xi,\eta), \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where the functions f_+ and g_+ are real analytic almost periodic functions in ξ defined in a smaller domain $D(r_+, s_+)$ and $\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}$ is smaller than $\|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s}$.

In the following, $c_1, c_2, \cdots$ are positive constants depending on γ, Δ only. We also assume that for each fixed y, $f(\cdot, y), g(\cdot, y) \in AP_r(\omega)$ and f, g are real analytic in the domain $D(r, s)$ with $0 < r < 1, 0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, we assume that $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots), \alpha$ satisfy the nonresonance conditions (2.6) and (2.7). Let

$$\varepsilon = \|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s}.$$

We try to motivate the following constructions for u, v first. From (4.1) and (4.2), it follows that

$$\begin{cases} f_+(\xi,\eta) = f(\xi + u, \eta + v) + v(\xi,\eta) + u(\xi,\eta) - u(\xi + \eta + f_+, \eta + g_+), \\ g_+(\xi,\eta) = g(\xi + u, \eta + v) + v(\xi,\eta) - v(\xi + \eta + f_+, \eta + g_+), \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

which serve to define f_+, g_+ implicity in $D(r_+, s_+)$.

In the following, we will determine the unknown functions u and v. As one did in the periodic case, we may solve u and v from the following equations

$$u(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - u(\xi, \eta) = v(\xi, \eta) + f(\xi, \eta),$$

$$v(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - v(\xi, \eta) = g(\xi, \eta).$$

These difference equation will introduce the small divisors. We can solve the second equation only if the mean value of g over the first variable vanishes.

For this reason we define u, v as the solutions of the following modified homological equations

$$\begin{cases} u(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - u(\xi, \eta) = v(\xi, \eta) + f(\xi, \eta), \\ v(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - v(\xi, \eta) = g\xi, \eta) - [g](\eta). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Here [ ] denotes the mean value of a function over the first variable.

4.2. Estimates of u, v

In order to solve u, v from the equations (4.4), we will meet the following difference equation (the so-called homological equation) :

$$l(x + \alpha) - l(x) = h(x), \tag{4.5}$$

where $h \in AP_r(\omega)$. Let us first study this equation.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $h \in AP_r(\omega)$ and $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots), \alpha$ satisfy the nonresonance condition*

$$\left|\langle k, \omega\rangle \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - j\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}, \quad \text{for all } \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \{0\}, \ \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \ \gamma > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Then for any $0 < r' < r$, the difference equation (4.5) has the unique solution $l \in AP_{r'}(\omega)$ with $\lim\limits_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T l(x)dx = 0$ if and only if

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h(x)dx = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

In this case, we have the following estimate

$$\|l\|_{m',r'} \leq \gamma^{-1}\, \Gamma_0(r - r')\, \Gamma_0(m - m')\|h\|_{m,r} \tag{4.8}$$

for $0 < m' < m$, $\Gamma_0(\rho) = \sup\limits_{t\geq 0} \Delta(t)e^{-\rho t}$.

Proof: From $h \in AP_r(\omega)$ and (4.7), we know that h can be represented by

$$h(x) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} h_A(x),$$

where

$$h_A(x) = \sum_{\substack{k\neq 0 \\ \operatorname{supp} k\subseteq A}} h_k\, e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x}.$$

Let

$$l(x) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} l_A(x),$$

where

$$l_A(x) = \sum_{\substack{k\neq 0 \\ \operatorname{supp} k\subseteq A}} l_k e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x}.$$

After straightforward calculations we obtain the relation between Fourier coefficients h_k and l_k as follows

$$l_k = \frac{h_k}{e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\alpha} - 1}, \quad k \neq 0,$$

then l is of the form

$$l(x) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} l_A(x) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} \sum_{\substack{k\neq 0 \\ \operatorname{supp} k\subseteq A}} \frac{h_k}{e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\alpha} - 1} e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x},$$

which is the uniquely determined Fourier expansion of the wanted solution l satisfying $l \in AP(\omega)$ with $\lim\limits_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T l(x)dx = 0$.

From (4.6), it follows that

$$\left|e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\alpha}-1\right| \geq \left|\langle k,\omega\rangle\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}-j\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)} \quad \text{for all } \ k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash\{0\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|l_A|_{r'} &\leq \sum_{\substack{k\neq 0\\ \operatorname{supp} k\subseteq A}} \gamma^{-1}\Delta([[k]])\,\Delta(|k|)\,|h_k|\,e^{|k|r'} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{k\neq 0\\ \operatorname{supp} k\subseteq A}} \gamma^{-1}\,\Delta([[k]])\,\Delta(|k|)\,e^{-(r-r')|k|}\,|h_k|\,e^{|k|r} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1}\Delta([A])\,\Gamma_0(r-r')\,|h_A|_r,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_0(r-r')=\sup\limits_{t\geq 0}\Delta(t)e^{-(r-r')t}$. Putting the spatial components together yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|l\|_{m',r'} &\leq \gamma^{-1}\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}}\Delta([A])\,\Gamma_0(r-r')\,|h_A|_r\,e^{m'[A]} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1}\,\Gamma_0(r-r')\,\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}}\Delta([A])\,e^{-(m-m')[A]}\,|h_A|_r\,e^{m[A]} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1}\,\Gamma_0(r-r')\,\Gamma_0(m-m')\|h\|_{m,r}
\end{aligned}$$

for $0<m'<m,\ 0<r'<r$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we can solve the functions u and v from (4.4) and give the estimates of them. In the first equation of (4.4) the mean value over the first variable must vanish on both sides. Hence we get the condition

$$[v](\eta) = -[f](\eta) \tag{4.9}$$

for the mean value of v over the first variable. As a consequence, we have

$$\|[v]\|_{m,r,s} \leq \|f\|_{m,r,s}.$$

Lemma 4.1 gives a unique solution $v(\xi,\eta)=\tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)$ of the second equation of (4.4) with $[\tilde{v}](\eta)=0$. This solution has the estimate

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{m-\nu,r-\delta,s} \leq \gamma^{-1}\,\Gamma_0(\delta)\,\Gamma_0(\nu)\|g\|_{m,r,s}$$

for $0<\nu<m,\ 0<\delta<r$. Define $v(\xi,\eta)=\tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)+[v](\eta)$, we obtain the uniquely determined solution $v(\xi,\eta)$ of the second equation of (4.4).

Define $p(\xi,\eta)=\tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)+f(\xi,\eta)$, note that $\tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)$ is defined in $D(r-\delta,s)$, then $p(\xi,\eta)$ is well defined in $D(r-\delta,s)$. As a consequence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p\|_{m-\nu,r-\delta,s} &= \|\tilde{v}+f\|_{m-\nu,r-\delta,s} \\
&\leq c_1\Gamma_0(\delta)\,\Gamma_0(\nu)(\|f\|_{m,r,s}+\|g\|_{m,r,s})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi,\eta)-[p](\eta) &= \tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)+f(\xi,\eta)-[\tilde{v}+f](\eta) \\ &= \tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)+f(\xi,\eta)-[\tilde{v}](\eta)-[f](\eta) \\ &= \tilde{v}(\xi,\eta)+f(\xi,\eta)+[v](\eta) \\ &= v(\xi,\eta)+f(\xi,\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first equation of (4.4) can be written in the form

$$u(\xi+\alpha,\eta)-u(\xi,\eta)=p(\xi,\eta)-[p](\eta). \tag{4.10}$$

Thus Lemma 4.1 gives a uniquely determined solution u of (4.10) with $[u]=0$. For an estimate of u we apply Lemma 4.1 to (4.10) restricted to $D(r-\delta,s)$ such that in (4.8) we have to replace h by p and r by $r-\delta$.

From the above discussions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{m-2\nu,r-2\delta,s}+\|v\|_{m-2\nu,r-2\delta,s} &\le c_2\Gamma_0^2(\delta)\,\Gamma_0^2(\nu)(\|f\|_{m,r,s}+\|g\|_{m,r,s}) \\ &= c_2\Gamma_0^2(\delta)\,\Gamma_0^2(\nu)\varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and by Cauchy's estimate

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\xi}\right\|_{m-2\nu,r-3\delta,s}+\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial\xi}\right\|_{m-2\nu,r-3\delta,s}\le c_2\Gamma_0^2(\delta)\,\Gamma_0^2(\nu)\,\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}\right\|_{m-2\nu,r-2\delta,s-\rho}+\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial\eta}\right\|_{m-2\nu,r-2\delta,s-\rho}\le c_2\Gamma_0^2(\delta)\,\Gamma_0^2(\nu)\,\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \tag{4.13}$$

for $0<2\nu<m, 0<3\delta<r$ and $0<\rho<s$.

For $0<m_+<m, 0<r_+<r<1$ and $0<s_+<s<\frac{1}{2}$, let

$$\nu=\frac{1}{10}(m-m_+),\quad \delta=\frac{1}{10}(r-r_+),\quad \rho=\frac{1}{10}(s-s_+).$$

Introduce some domains D_j between $D(r_+,s_+)$ and $D(r,s)$ by

$$D_j=D(r-j\delta,s-j\rho)\quad \text{for } 0\le j\le 10.$$

From (4.11) and (4.12), it follows that

$$\mathfrak{U}^{-1}(D_{j+1})\subset D_j,\quad \mathfrak{M}(D_{j+1})\subset D_j,\quad \mathfrak{U}(D_{j+1})\subset D_j, \tag{4.14}$$

if

$$\varepsilon^{-1}>c_2\Gamma_0^2(\delta)\,\Gamma_0^2(\nu)\,\max\Big\{\frac{1}{\delta},\frac{1}{\rho}\Big\}. \tag{4.15}$$

Hence the mapping $\mathfrak{U}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}\,\mathfrak{U}$ is well defined in the domain $D(r_+,s_+)$ and maps this domain into D_6.

4.3. The estimates on the new perturbations

In this subsection the estimates on the new perturbations f_+, g_+ are given.

Firstly, if $u(\cdot,\eta), v(\cdot,\eta) \in AP(\omega)$, then one can prove that $f_+(\cdot,\eta), g_+(\cdot,\eta) \in AP(\omega)$ are well defined by (4.3). Indeed, since $f(\cdot,y), g(\cdot,y), u(\cdot,\eta), v(\cdot,\eta) \in AP(\omega)$, then we have

$$f(x,y)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k(y)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle x},\ F(\theta,y)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k(y)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle},$$

$$g(x,y)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} g_k(y)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle t},\ G(\theta,y)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} g_k(y)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle},$$

and

$$u(\xi,\eta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle \xi},\ U(\theta,\eta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle},$$

$$v(\xi,\eta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle \xi},\ V(\theta,\eta)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}.$$

Then

$$f(\xi+u,\eta+v)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k\left(\eta+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\xi}\right)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\left(\xi+\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\xi}\right)},$$

$$g(\xi+u,\eta+v)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} g_k\left(\eta+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\xi}\right)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\left(\xi+\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\omega\rangle\xi}\right)}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k\left(\eta+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right)e^{i\left\langle k,\theta+\omega\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right\rangle}$$

$$=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} f_k\Big(\eta+V(\theta,\eta)\Big)e^{i\langle k,\theta+\omega U(\theta,\eta)\rangle}=F(\theta+\omega U(\theta,\eta),\eta+V(\theta,\eta)),$$

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} g_k\left(\eta+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} v_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right)e^{i\left\langle k,\theta+\omega\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_k(\eta)e^{i\langle k,\theta\rangle}\right\rangle}$$

$$=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}} g_k\Big(\eta+V(\theta,\eta)\Big)e^{i\langle k,\theta+\omega U(\theta,\eta)\rangle}=G(\theta+\omega U(\theta,\eta),\eta+V(\theta,\eta))$$

are the shell functions of $f(\xi+u,\eta+v), g(\xi+u,\eta+v)$, respectively. That is to say, $f(\xi+u,\eta+v), g(\xi+u,\eta+v)$ are real analytic almost periodic functions in ξ. From Lemma 2.6, we know $f(\xi+u,\eta+v)+v(\xi,\eta)+u(\xi,\eta), g(\xi+$

$u, \eta + v) + v(\xi, \eta)$ are also real analytic almost periodic functions in ξ. Denote $\tilde{\phi}(\xi, \eta) = f(\xi + u, \eta + v) + v(\xi, \eta) + u(\xi, \eta), \tilde{\psi}(\xi, \eta) = g(\xi + u, \eta + v) + v(\xi, \eta)$, and the corresponding shell functions are $\tilde{\Phi}(\theta, \eta), \tilde{\Psi}(\theta, \eta)$ which are period 2π in each of the variables θ_λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$). If the unknown functions $f_+(\xi, \eta), g_+(\xi, \eta)$ are represented by $F_+(\theta, \eta), G_+(\theta, \eta)$, where $f_+(\xi, \eta) = F_+(\omega\xi, \eta), g_+(\xi, \eta) = G_+(\omega\xi, \eta)$, the conditions for F_+, G_+ become

$$\begin{cases} F_+(\theta, \eta) = \tilde{\Phi}(\theta, \eta) - U(\theta + \omega\eta + \omega F_+(\theta, \eta), \eta + G_+(\theta, \eta)), \\ G_+(\theta, \eta)) = \tilde{\Psi}(\theta, \eta) - V(\theta + \omega\eta + \omega F_+(\theta, \eta), \eta + G_+(\theta, \eta)). \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.12), (4.13), (4.15) and the implicit function theorem, we know $F_+(\theta, \eta)$, $G_+(\theta, \eta)$ are well defined by (4.16), and have period 2π in each of the variables θ_λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Hence, from the constructions of u, v and the relationships between f_+, g_+ and F_+, G_+, we know that the new perturbations $f_+(\cdot, \eta), g_+(\cdot, \eta) \in AP(\omega)$. Similar to the proof in [20, chapter 3], one may prove that f_+ and g_+ are real analytic in $D(r_+, s_+)$. Moreover, we have

$$\|f_+\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} < 4\delta, \qquad \|g_+\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} < 4\rho.$$

In the following, we will prove that $\|f_+\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} + \|g_+\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+}$ is much smaller than $\|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s}$.

From (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} f_+(\xi, \eta) = u(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - u(\xi_1, \eta_1) + f(\xi + u, \eta + v) - f(\xi, \eta), \\ g_+(\xi, \eta) = v(\xi + \alpha, \eta) - v(\xi_1, \eta_1) + g(\xi + u, \eta + v) - g(\xi, \eta) + [g](\eta). \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

We obtain from (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} + \|v\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} &< c_2 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r - r_+}{10}\Big) \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m - m_+}{10}\Big)\varepsilon, \\ \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}\right\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}\right\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} &< c_3 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r - r_+}{10}\Big) \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m - m_+}{10}\Big) \frac{\varepsilon}{r - r_+}, \\ \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}\right\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta}\right\|_{m_+, r_+, s_+} &< c_3 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r - r_+}{10}\Big) \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m - m_+}{10}\Big) \frac{\varepsilon}{s - s_+} \\ &< c_4 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r - r_+}{10}\Big) \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m - m_+}{10}\Big) \frac{\varepsilon}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_4 > c_3$, we also used that $3s_+ < s$. With this constant c_4 we define

$$\vartheta = c_4 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r - r_+}{10}\Big) \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m - m_+}{10}\Big) \frac{\varepsilon}{s}$$

and rewrite these inequalities in the form

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|v\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < \vartheta s, \\ \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < \vartheta \frac{s}{r-r_+} < \vartheta, \\ \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta}\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < \vartheta, \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

whereby we have made use of $s < \frac{r-r_+}{4}$.

The contribution from the functions u, v on the right hand side of (4.17) can be estimated using the mean value theorem followed by (4.18), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(\xi+\alpha,\eta) - u(\xi_1,\eta_1)\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} \\ &\le \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}\right\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} (|\eta-\alpha| + \|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}) + \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}\right\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} \|g_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} \\ &< \vartheta \frac{s}{r-r_+}|\eta-\alpha| + \vartheta(\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}). \end{aligned}$$

The same final estimate is obtained also for the corresponding contribution v.

Recalling that $\|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s} = \varepsilon$, we can use Cauchy's estimate to bound the derivatives of f, g by $\frac{2\varepsilon}{s}$ in $D(r_+, s_+)$, so that, again applying the mean value theorem followed by (4.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\xi+u,\eta+v) - f(\xi,\eta)\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} &< \frac{2\varepsilon}{s}(\|u\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|v\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}) \\ &< 2\vartheta\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

with the same final estimate for the corresponding contribution from g.

The troublesome mean value $[g](\eta)$ will be approximated by the linear function

$$h(\eta) = [g](\alpha) + [g]_\eta(\alpha)(\eta-\alpha),$$

which we will estimate later using the intersection property. From (4.17) and the previous estimates we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+ - h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} &< 2\vartheta\Big(\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+ - h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}\Big) \\ &\quad + 2\vartheta|h|_{s_+} + 2\vartheta\frac{s}{r-r_+}|\eta-\alpha| + 4\vartheta\varepsilon + \big|[g]-h\big|_{s_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if one chooses ε sufficiently small such that

$$\vartheta = c_4\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r-r_+}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m-m_+}{10}\Big)\,\frac{\varepsilon}{s} < \frac{1}{4},$$

we can eliminate $\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+ - h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}$ from the right hand side, and recalling that $|\eta-\alpha| < s_+ < s$, we can express this in the form

$$\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+ - h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < c_5\left\{\vartheta\frac{s}{r-r_+}s + \vartheta|h|_{s_+} + \vartheta\varepsilon + \big|[g]-h\big|_{s_+}\right\}.$$

A preliminary estimate of $h(\eta)$ and $\big|[g]-h\big|_{s_+}$ is obtained by observing that for $|\eta-\alpha|<s$ we have $\Big|[g]\Big|_s<\varepsilon$ and therefore by Cauchy's estimate $\Big|[g]_\eta(\alpha)\Big|_{s_+}<\frac{\varepsilon}{s-s_+}$, while for $|\eta-\alpha|<s_+$ also $\Big|[g]_{\eta\eta}\Big|_{s_+}<\frac{2\varepsilon}{(s-s_+)^2}$. Consequently, for $|\eta-\alpha|<s_+$, we have

$$|h|_{s_+}<\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{s-s_+}s_+<2\varepsilon$$

and

$$\big|[g]-h\big|_{s_+}<\frac{s_+^2}{2}\Big|[g]_{\eta\eta}\Big|_{s_+}<\Big(\frac{s_+}{s-s_+}\Big)^2\varepsilon<3\Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon,$$

where we have used that $3s_+<s$. Combining these with the previous estimates, we now have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}+\|g_+-h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}&<c_5\bigg\{\vartheta\frac{s}{r-r_+}s+2\vartheta\varepsilon+\vartheta\varepsilon+3\Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\bigg\}\\&=c_5\bigg\{\frac{\vartheta}{r-r_+}\big(s^2+3(r-r_+)\varepsilon\big)+3\Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\bigg\}\\&\le c_6\bigg\{\frac{\vartheta}{r-r_+}\big(s^2+\varepsilon\big)+3\Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\bigg\},\end{aligned}$$

this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}+\|g_+-h\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}\\&<c_6\bigg\{\frac{c_4}{r-r_+}\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r-r_+}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m-m_+}{10}\Big)\Big(s\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{s}\Big)+\Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\bigg\}=Q,\quad(4.19)\end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality also deserves to define Q.

The preliminary estimate of 2ε for $|h|_+$, however, is insufficient for decreasing the error term, and to obtain a better estimate we use the intersection property of $\mathfrak{M}$, or that of $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{U}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}\,\mathfrak{U}$ also has the intersection property. Accordingly, each curve $\eta=$ constant, in particular, has to intersect its image curve under $\mathfrak{N}$ and at such a point of intersection we have $\eta_1=\eta$ or $g_+=0$, so that for each real η in $|\eta-\alpha|<s_+$ there exists a real $\xi=\xi_0(\eta)$ such that $g_+(\xi_0(\eta),\eta)=0$. Applying (4.19) at such points $(\xi_0(\eta),\eta)$, we find that

$$|h(\eta)|<Q\quad(\alpha-s_+<\eta<\alpha+s_+).$$

Consequently, setting $\eta=\alpha$ in the definition of h, we get $\big|[g](\alpha)\big|<Q$, and letting η approach $\alpha+s_+$ in the same definition, we obtain

$$\big|[g](\alpha)+[g]_\eta(\alpha)s_+\big|\le Q,$$

so that

$$\big|[g]_\eta(\alpha)s_+\big| < 2Q.$$

From this we conclude that for complex η in the disk $|\eta-\alpha| < s_+$ we have

$$\big|h(\eta)\big| \le \big|[g](\alpha)\big| + \big|[g]_\eta(\alpha)\big|\big|\eta-\alpha\big| < 3Q,$$

which in view of (4.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}\\ &< 4Q = c_7\bigg\{\frac{1}{r-r_+}\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r-r_+}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m-m_+}{10}\Big)\Big(s\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{s}\Big) + \Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

4.4. The Iteration Lemma

The above discussions lead to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider a map*

$$\mathfrak{M}: \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + y + f(x,y),\\ y_1 = y + g(x,y),\end{cases}$$

where f and g are real analytic in the domain $D(r,s)$ and almost periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots,\omega_\lambda,\cdots)$. Assume $\omega = (\cdots,\omega_\lambda,\cdots),\alpha$ satisfy (4.6). Let

$$\varepsilon = \|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s}.$$

Then there is a constant $c_4 > 0$ depending on γ,Δ only such that for

$$0 < m_+ < m < 1, 0 < r_+ < r < 1, 0 < 3s_+ < s < \frac{r-r_+}{4}, \tag{4.20}$$

if

$$\vartheta = c_4\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r-r_+}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m-m_+}{10}\Big)\,\frac{\varepsilon}{s} < \frac{1}{4}, \tag{4.21}$$

there is a transformation

$$\mathfrak{U}: \quad \begin{cases} x = \xi + u(\xi,\eta),\\ y = \eta + v(\xi,\eta),\end{cases}$$

which is defined in the domain $D(r_+,s_+)$, u,v are real analytic and almost periodic in ξ. Under this transformation, the map $\mathfrak{M}$ is into the form

$$\mathfrak{U}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{U}: \quad \begin{cases} \xi_1 = \xi + \eta + f_+(\xi,\eta),\\ \eta_1 = \eta + g_+(\xi,\eta),\end{cases}$$

where the functions f_+ and g_+ are real analytic in a smaller domain $D(r_+, s_+)$ and almost periodic in ξ with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$. Moreover, the following estimates hold :

$$\|\mathfrak{U} - id\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < \vartheta s, \quad \|\partial\mathfrak{U} - E\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} < \vartheta, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+} + \|g_+\|_{m_+,r_+,s_+}\\ &< c_7\left\{\frac{1}{r-r_+}\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r-r_+}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m-m_+}{10}\Big)\Big(s\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{s}\Big) + \Big(\frac{s_+}{s}\Big)^2\varepsilon\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

5. Iteration and Proof of Theorem 2.13

Lemma 4.2 is usually called the iteration lemma in the KAM proof. For the proof of Theorem 2.13, one can use this lemma infinite times to construct a sequence of transformations $\mathfrak{U}$. To this end we make a sequence of successive applications of the lemma, starting with the given mapping (1.1), now denote by $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_0$ and restricted to the domain

$$D_0 \ : \ |\mathrm{Im}\, x| < r_0, \quad |y - \alpha| < s_0.$$

By assumption we have there

$$\|f\|_{m_0,r_0,s_0} + \|g\|_{m_0,r_0,s_0} < \varepsilon_0$$

and therefore have to verify first the inequalities (4.20), (4.21). Firstly, we choose

$$\begin{aligned}&m_1 = \tfrac{3}{4}m_0, \quad r_1 = \tfrac{3}{4}r_0, \quad s_0 = \varepsilon_0^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad s_1 = \varepsilon_1^{\frac{2}{3}},\\ &\varepsilon_1 = r_0^{-1}c_8\Gamma_0^{-2}(c_8)\varepsilon_0^{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad e_0 = r_0^{-3}c_8^{12}\Gamma_0^{-6}(c_8)\varepsilon_0\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

with $c_8 > 2$ a suitably chosen constant. Then we have

$$0 < m_1 = \frac{3}{4}m_0 < m_0, \quad 0 < r_1 = \frac{3}{4}r_0 < r_0.$$

The inequality $3s_1 < s_0$ follows from

$$\Big(\frac{s_1}{s_0}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0} = c_8^{-3}e_0^{\frac{1}{3}} < c_8^{-3} < \frac{1}{8}$$

and since $s_0 = \varepsilon_0^{\frac{2}{3}}$ goes to 0 faster than exponentially when ε_0 is small, while $r_0 - r_1 = r_0 2^{-2}$ decays only exponentially, the whole third inequality in (4.20) will hold for ε_0 sufficiently small. And for $r = r_0, r_+ = r_1, m = r_0, m_+ = m_1, \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0, s = s_0$ in (4.21) we have

$$\vartheta_0 = c_4\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_0}{40}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_0}{40}\Big)\,\frac{\varepsilon_0}{s_0} = c_4\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_0}{40}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_0}{40}\Big)\,\varepsilon_0{}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

this can be made less than $\frac{1}{4}$ by choosing ε_0 small.

Hence ε_0 can be chosen sufficiently small such that the inequalities (4.20), (4.21) with $n = 0$ hold. Transforming the mapping $\mathfrak{M}_0$ by the coordinate transformation $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}_0$ provided by Lemma 4.2, we obtain a mapping $\mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{U}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}\,\mathfrak{U}$ defined in the domain

$$D_1 \ : \ |\mathrm{Im}\, x| < r_1, \quad |y - \alpha| < s_1,$$

where r_1, s_1 correspond to the parameter r_+, s_+ of Lemma 4.2. Applying Lemma 4.2 to the new mapping $\mathfrak{M}_1$, we obtain another coordinate transformation $\mathfrak{U}_1$ and a transformed mapping $\mathfrak{M}_2 = {\mathfrak{U}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}_1\,\mathfrak{U}_1$, and proceeding in this way we are led to a sequence of mappings

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} = {\mathfrak{U}_n}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}_n\,\mathfrak{U}_n \quad (n = 0, 1, \cdots) \tag{5.2}$$

whose domains D_{n+1} are defined like D_1 with r_{n+1}, s_{n+1} replacing r, s. We have to verify, of course, that this sequence of transformations are well defined, and that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ approximates the twist mapping with increasing precision. For this we fix the parameters $m_n, r_n, s_n, \varepsilon_n\,(n = 0, 1, \cdots)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} &m_n = \tfrac{m_0}{2}\Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{2^n}\Big), \qquad r_n = \tfrac{r_0}{2}\Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{2^n}\Big), \\ &s_n = \varepsilon_n^{\frac{2}{3}}, \qquad \varepsilon_{n+1} = r_0^{-1} c_8^{n+1} \Gamma_0^{-2}(c_8^{n+1})\varepsilon_n^{\frac{4}{3}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where c_8 is given in (5.1). Thus m_n is a decreasing sequence converging to the positive value $\frac{m_0}{2}$, r_n is also a decreasing sequence converging to the positive value $\frac{r_0}{2}$, and all functions to be considered will be analytic in ξ for $|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}$. The sequence ε_n converges to 0 provided ε_0 chosen sufficiently small. Indeed, the sequence $e_n = r_0^{-3} c_8^{3(n+4)} \Gamma_0^{-6}(c_8^{n+1})\varepsilon_n$ satisfies

$$e_{n+1} \le e_n^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

and therefore converges to zero if we take $0 < e_0 < 1$, or $0 < \varepsilon_0 < r_0^3 c_8^{-12} \Gamma_0^6(c_8)$. Then s_n is also a decreasing sequence converging to 0.

To show that the mapping $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is well defined in D_n and satisfies the appropriate estimate, we proceed by induction. Assume that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is defined in D_n and satisfies the estimate

$$\varepsilon = \|f\|_{m_n, r_n, s_n} + \|g\|_{m_n, r_n, s_n} < \varepsilon_n,$$

we will verify the corresponding statement for $\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}$. For this we apply Lemma 4.2 with $m = m_n, r = r_n, s = s_n, m_+ = m_{n+1}, r_+ = r_{n+1}, s_+ = s_{n+1}$, and therefore have to verify first the inequalities (4.20), (4.21). The inequality $3s_{n+1} < s_n$ follows from

$$\Big(\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\varepsilon_n} = c_8^{-3} e_n^{\frac{1}{3}} < c_8^{-3} < \frac{1}{8} \tag{5.4}$$

and since ε_n goes to 0 faster than exponentially while $r - r_+ = r_n - r_{n+1} = r_0 2^{-n-2}$ decays only exponentially, the whole third inequality in (4.20) will hold for ε_0 sufficiently small. And for $\vartheta = \vartheta_n$ in (4.21) we have

$$\vartheta_n = c_4 \Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_n - r_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_n - m_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\,\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

this can be made less than $\frac{1}{4}$ by choosing ε_0 small. Thus there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon^*(r_0, s_0, m_0, \gamma, \Delta)$ such that for $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon^*$ the inequalities (4.20),(4.21) hold and Lemma 4.2 is applicable. From Lemma 4.2 we now obtain the transformation $\mathfrak{U}_n$ taking D_{n+1} into D_n and the transformed mapping $\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} = {\mathfrak{U}_n}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}_n\,\mathfrak{U}_n$ defined in D_{n+1}. Moreover, by (4.23) we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|g_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\
&< c_7\left\{\frac{1}{r_n - r_{n+1}}\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_n - r_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_n - m_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\left(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{5}{3}} + \varepsilon_n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right) + \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\varepsilon_n}\Big)^{\frac{4}{3}}\varepsilon_n\right\} \\
&= c_7\left\{\frac{1}{r_n - r_{n+1}}\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_n - r_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_n - m_{n+1}}{10}\Big)\,\varepsilon_n^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1\right) + \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\varepsilon_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}\varepsilon_{n+1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which in view of (5.3), (5.4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|g_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\
&\le c_7\left\{2^{n+2}c_8^{-n-1}\Gamma_0^2(c_8^{n+1})\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_0 2^{-n-2}}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_0 2^{-n-2}}{10}\Big)\left(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1\right) + \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\varepsilon_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right\}\varepsilon_{n+1} \\
&< c_7\left\{2(2c_8^{-1})^{n+1}\Gamma_0^2(c_8^{n+1})\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{r_0 2^{-n-2}}{10}\Big)\,\Gamma_0^2\Big(\frac{m_0 2^{-n-2}}{10}\Big)\left(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1\right) + c_8^{-1}\right\}\varepsilon_{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

since the sequence ε_n is bounded, and in view of condition (2.5) the coefficient of ε_{n+1} in the last inequality can be made less than 1 by taking c_8 large. With such a choice we finally have

$$
\|f_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|g_+\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \varepsilon_{n+1}
$$

and the induction is complete.

Since $\mathfrak{U}_k$ maps the domain D_{k+1} into D_k $(k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$, the transformation $\mathfrak{V}_n = \mathfrak{U}_0 \circ \mathfrak{U}_1 \circ \cdots \mathfrak{U}_n$ is well defined in D_{n+1} and is seen to take $\mathfrak{M}_0$ into

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} = {\mathfrak{V}_n}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}_0\mathfrak{V}_n.
$$

Moreover, if we express $\mathfrak{V}_n$ in the form

$$
x = \xi + p_n(\xi, \eta), y = \eta + q_n(\xi, \eta),
$$

then p_n, q_n are analytic functions in the domain D_{n+1} which, in view of $s_n \to 0, r_n \to \frac{r_0}{2}$, shrinks down to the domain D_∞ :

$$
|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}, \quad |\eta - \alpha| = 0.
$$

We will show that as $n \to \infty$ the sequences $p_n(\xi, \alpha), q_n(\xi, \alpha)$ converge to analytic functions of ξ for $|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}$. Indeed, from $\mathfrak{V}_n = \mathfrak{V}_{n-1}\mathfrak{U}_n$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_n &= u_n + p_{n-1}(\xi + u_n, \eta + v_n), \\
q_n &= v_n + q_{n-1}(\xi + u_n, \eta + v_n),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

where u_n, v_n correspond to $\mathfrak{U}_n$ as u, v to $\mathfrak{U}$, and consequently

$$p_n = u_n + u_{n-1} + \cdots + u_0,$$
$$q_n = v_n + v_{n-1} + \cdots + v_0.$$

In the last two sums we have suppressed the different arguments, but this is irrelevant for the proof of convergence since we can estimate $\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}$, $\|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}$ by their supremum over D_{n+1}. Namely, from (4.22) it follows that

$$\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{4}s_n,$$

Also, from $3s_{n+1} < s_n$ we have

$$\|p_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|q_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu=n} s_\nu < \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{3^\nu}s_0 < s_0. \quad (5.6)$$

Estimate the derivatives of p_n, q_n on D_{n+1}. Let

$$P_n^1 = \max\left\{\|\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}, \|\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}\right\},$$

$$P_n^2 = \max\left\{\|\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial\eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}, \|\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial\eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}}\right\}.$$

Show by induction that for all $n \geq 0$

$$P_n^1 + P_n^2 < \prod_{\ell=0}^{n}(1+2\vartheta_\ell) - 1. \quad (5.7)$$

For $n = 0$, the inequality (5.7) is fulfilled, since

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n,$$

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial\eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n$$

on D_{n+1} for all n since which is guaranteed by (4.22).

Let $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathfrak{U}_n(D_{n+1}) \subseteq D_n$, and by (5.5) implies

$$\|\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n + P_{n-1}^1(1+\vartheta_n) + P_{n-1}^2\vartheta_n,$$

$$\|\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial\xi}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n + P_{n-1}^1(1+\vartheta_n) + P_{n-1}^2\vartheta_n,$$

$$\|\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial\eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n + P_{n-1}^1\vartheta_n + P_{n-1}^2(1+\vartheta_n),$$

$$\|\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial \eta}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n + P^1_{n-1}\vartheta_n + P^2_{n-1}(1+\vartheta_n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P^1_n + P^2_n &< (1+2\vartheta_n)(P^1_{n-1}+P^2_{n-1}) + 2\vartheta_n \\ &< (1+2\vartheta_n)\left\{\prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1}(1+2\vartheta_\ell) - 1\right\} + 2\vartheta_n \\ &< \prod_{\ell=0}^{n}(1+2\vartheta_\ell) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the inequality (5.7) for all n. Note that (5.7) implies

$$P^1_n + P^2_n < \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n - 1 \tag{5.8}$$

for all n.

And by (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_n - p_{n-1} &= u_n + p_{n-1}(\xi+u_n, \eta+v_n) - p_{n-1}(\xi,\eta), \\ q_n - q_{n-1} &= v_n + q_{n-1}(\xi+u_n, \eta+v_n) - q_{n-1}(\xi,\eta), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|p_n - p_{n-1}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\ &\le \|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + P^1_{n-1}\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + P^2_{n-1}\|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\ &\le (\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}})(1+P^1_{n-1}+P^2_{n-1}), \\ &\|q_n - q_{n-1}\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\ &\le \|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + P^1_{n-1}\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + P^2_{n-1}\|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} \\ &\le (\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}})(1+P^1_{n-1}+P^2_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.22), we have

$$\|u_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} + \|v_n\|_{m_{n+1},r_{n+1},s_{n+1}} < \vartheta_n s_n.$$

And $\mathfrak{U}_n(D_\infty) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_n(D_{n+1}) \subseteq D_n$, therefore by (5.7),(5.8),

$$\|p_n - p_{n-1}\|_{\frac{m_0}{2},\frac{r_0}{2}} < \vartheta_n s_n(1+P^1_{n-1}+P^2_{n-1}) < \frac{s_n}{4}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n,$$

$$\|q_n - q_{n-1}\|_{\frac{m_0}{2},\frac{r_0}{2}} < \vartheta_n s_n(1+P^1_{n-1}+P^2_{n-1}) < \frac{s_n}{4}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n.$$

One sees

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n s_n < \infty,$$

since $s_n < \frac{r_n - r_{n+1}}{4} = r_0 2^{-n-4}$ which is guaranteed by (4.20), in other words $s_n = \varepsilon_n^{\frac{2}{3}}$ decay faster than a geometrical progression. Thus this implies uniform convergence of p_n, q_n in $|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}$ for $\eta = \alpha$. We denote the limit of p_n by $\widetilde{u}(\xi)$ and of q_n by $\widetilde{v}(\xi) - \alpha$, which then are real analytic functions in $|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}$ and almost periodic in ξ with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$. And we choose $\varepsilon_0 = s_0^{\frac{3}{2}}$ so small that $s_0 < \bar{\varepsilon}$, therefore from (5.6), there exists a positive $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \tilde{\varepsilon}_0(\bar{\varepsilon}, r_0, s_0, m_0, \gamma, \Delta) < \varepsilon^*$ such that for $\varepsilon_0 < \tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ we have

$$\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\frac{m_0}{2}, \frac{r_0}{2}} + \|\widetilde{v} - \alpha\|_{\frac{m_0}{2}, \frac{r_0}{2}} < \bar{\varepsilon}$$

in $|\mathrm{Im}\,\xi| < \frac{r_0}{2}$, as asserted in the theorem, and this $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ can be used as the constant restricting the size of $\|f\|_{m,r,s} + \|g\|_{m,r,s}$. This concludes the proof of the existence of an invariant curve. Hence the proof of Theorem 2.13 is completed. □

6. The small twist theorem

In this section we formulate an useful small twist theorem which is a variant of the invariant curve theorem (Theorem 2.13) for the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}$.

In many applications, one may meet the so called small twist mappings

$$\mathfrak{M}_\delta : \begin{cases} x_1 = x + \delta y + f(x, y, \delta), \\ y_1 = y + g(x, y, \delta), \end{cases} \qquad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [a, b], \tag{6.1}$$

where the functions f and g are real analytic functions, and almost periodic in x with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$, $0 < \delta < 1$ is a small parameter.

We choose the number α satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} a + \gamma \le \alpha \le b - \gamma, \\ \left| \langle k, \omega \rangle \frac{\delta \alpha}{2\pi} - j \right| \ge \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}, \quad \text{for all } \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \{0\}, \ \ j \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

with some positive constant γ, where Δ is some approximation functions.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}_\delta$ given by (6.1) has the intersection property, and for every y, δ, $f(\cdot, y, \delta), g(\cdot, y, \delta) \in AP_r(\omega)$ with ω satisfying the nonresonance condition (2.6), and the corresponding shell functions $F(\theta, y, \delta), G(\theta, y, \delta)$ are real analytic in the domain $D(r, s) = \left\{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{C} \ : \ |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|_\infty < r, |y - \alpha| < s\right\}$ with α satisfying (6.2). Then for each positive $\bar{\varepsilon}$ there is a positive $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\bar{\varepsilon}, r, s, m, \gamma, \Delta)$ such that if f, g satisfy the following smallness condition*

$$\|f(\cdot, \cdot, \delta)\|_{m,r,s} + \|g(\cdot, \cdot, \delta\|_{m,r,s} < \delta \varepsilon_0, \tag{6.3}$$

then the almost periodic mapping $\mathfrak{M}_\delta$ *has an invariant curve* $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ *with the form*

$$\begin{cases} x = x' + \varphi(x'), \\ y = \psi(x'), \end{cases}$$

where φ, ψ *are almost periodic with frequencies* $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$*, and the invariant curve* $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ *is of the form* $y = \phi(x)$ *with* $\phi \in AP_{r'}(\omega)$ *for some* $r' < r$*, and* $\|\phi - \alpha\|_{m',r'} < \bar{\varepsilon},\ 0 < m' < m$*. Moreover, the restriction of* $\mathfrak{M}_\delta$ *onto* $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ *is of the form*

$$\mathfrak{M}_\delta|_{\mathbf{\Gamma_0}} : \quad x_1' = x' + \delta\alpha.$$

Remark 6.2. *If all the conditions of Theorem 6.1 hold, given any* α *satisfying the inequalities (6.2), there exists an invariant curve* $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ *of* $\mathfrak{M}_\delta$*, which is almost periodic with the frequency* $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$*, and the restriction of* $\mathfrak{M}_\delta$ *onto* $\mathbf{\Gamma_0}$ *has the form*

$$\mathfrak{M}_\delta|_{\mathbf{\Gamma_0}} : \ x_1' = x' + \delta\alpha.$$

This is the so called small twist theorem. It is not a direct consequence of Theorem 2.13, but one can use the same procedure in the proof of Theorem 2.13 to prove it. Because there is nothing new in the proof, we omit it here.

Remark 6.3. *The above conclusion is also true for the following mapping*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = x + \beta + \delta h(y) + f(x, y, \delta), \\ y_1 = y + g(x, y, \delta) \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

with $h'(y) \neq 0$*, if we change the conditions (6.3) into*

$$M\Big(\|f(\cdot,\cdot,\delta)\|_{m,r,s} + \|g(\cdot,\cdot,\delta)\|_{m,r,s}\Big) < \varepsilon_0$$

with $M = \max\Big\{|h'|_s, 1\Big\}$.

7. Application

In this section we will apply the above result to superlinear Duffing's equation

$$\ddot{x} + x^3 = f(t), \tag{7.1}$$

where $f(t)$ is a real analytic almost periodic function with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ and admit a rapidly converging Fourier series expansion.

7.1. Action and angle variables

Dropping the time-dependent term equation (7.1) becomes

$$\ddot{x} + x^3 = 0.$$

Introduce a new variable $y = \dot{x}$ yields

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y, \\ \dot{y} = -x^3, \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

which is time-independent Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} h(x, y), \\ \dot{y} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, y) \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

with $h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^4$. Clearly, h is positive on $\mathbb{R}^2$ except at the only equilibrium point $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ of (7.2) where $h = 0$. All the solutions of (7.3) are periodic with period tending to zero as $h = E$ tends to infinity.

Suppose $(C(t), S(t))$ is the solution of (7.2) satisfying the initial condition $(C(0), S(0)) = (1, 0)$. Let $T_* > 0$ be its minimal period. From (7.2), these analytic functions satisfy

(i) $C(t + T_*) = C(t), S(t + T_*) = S(t)$ and $C(0) = 1, S(0) = 0$.

(ii) $\dot{C}(t) = S(t), \dot{S}(t) = -C^3(t)$.

(iii) $2S^2(t) + C^4(t) = 1$.

(iiii) $C(-t) = C(t), S(-t) = -S(t)$.

The action and angle variables are now defined by the map $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$, where $(x, y) = \Psi(\theta, \rho)$ with $\rho > 0$ and θ (mod 1) is given by the formula

$$\Psi : \begin{array}{l} x = c^{\frac{1}{3}} \rho^{\frac{1}{3}} C(\theta T_*), \\ y = c^{\frac{2}{3}} \rho^{\frac{2}{3}} S(\theta T_*), \end{array} \tag{7.4}$$

with $c = \frac{3}{T_*}$.

We claim that Ψ is a symplectic diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ onto $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$. Indeed, for the Jacobian $\tilde{\Delta}$ of Ψ one finds by (ii) and (iii) $|\tilde{\Delta}| = 1$, so that Ψ is measure preserving. Moreover since (C, S) is a solution of a differential equation having T_* as minimal period one concludes that Ψ is one to one and onto, which proves the claim.

In the new coordinates the Hamiltonian associated to (7.2) becomes

$$h \circ \Psi(\rho, \theta) = d \cdot \rho^{\frac{4}{3}} = h_0(\rho),$$

$d = \frac{c^{\frac{4}{3}}}{4}$, which is independent of the angle variable θ so that system (7.2) becomes very simple :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} h_0 = \frac{4}{3} d \rho^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \dot{\rho} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The full equation (7.1) has the Hamiltonian function :

$$h(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^4 - xf(t).$$

Under the symplectic transformation Ψ it is transformed into :

$$h_1(\rho,\theta,t) = h(\Psi(\rho,\theta),t) = d\cdot\rho^{\frac{4}{3}} - c^{\frac{1}{3}}\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}C(\theta T_*)f(t).$$

The Hamiltonian system (7.1) now becomes as follows :

$$\begin{cases} \dot\theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}h_1 = \frac{4}{3}d\rho^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{3}c^{\frac{1}{3}}\rho^{-\frac{2}{3}}C(\theta T_*)f(t), \\ \dot\rho = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}h_1 = c^{\frac{1}{3}}T_*\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}S(\theta T_*)f(t). \end{cases}$$

As one did in the periodic case, now we change the role of the variable t and θ, and yields that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dt}{d\theta} = \left[\frac{4}{3}d\rho^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{3}c^{\frac{1}{3}}\rho^{-\frac{2}{3}}C(\theta T_*)f(t)\right]^{-1}, \\ \frac{d\rho}{d\theta} = c^{\frac{1}{3}}T_*\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}S(\theta T_*)f(t)\left[\frac{4}{3}d\rho^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{3}c^{\frac{1}{3}}\rho^{-\frac{2}{3}}C(\theta T_*)f(t)\right]^{-1}, \end{cases} \tag{7.5}$$

this system is 1-periodic in the new time variable θ. Let ρ_* be a positive number such that

$$\frac{4}{3}d\rho_*^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{3}c^{\frac{1}{3}}\rho_*^{-\frac{2}{3}}\big|C\big|\big|f\big| > 0.$$

System (7.5) is well defined for $\rho \geq \rho_*$. Let $\big(t(\theta), r(\theta)\big)$ be a solution of (7.5) defined in a certain interval $I = [\theta_0, \theta_1]$ and such that $\rho(\theta) > \rho_*$ for all θ in I. The derivative $\frac{dt}{d\theta}$ is positive and the function t is a diffeomorphism from I onto $J = [t_0, t_1]$, where $t(\theta_0) = t_0$ and $t(\theta_1) = t_1$. The inverse function will be denoted by $\theta = \theta(t)$. It maps J onto I.

7.2. The expression of the Poincaré map of (7.5)

Our next goal is to obtain asymptotic expansions for t_1 and ρ_1. For ρ_0 large enough, the second equation of (7.5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\theta} = \frac{3T_*c^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4d}S(\theta T_*)f(t) + O(\rho^{-1}).$$

An integration of this equation leads to

$$\rho(\theta) = \rho_0 + O(1), \quad \theta\in[0,1]. \tag{7.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(\theta)^{-\frac{1}{3}} = {\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 + {\rho_0}^{-1}O(1))^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Expanding $(1 + {\rho_0}^{-1}O(1))^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ yields

$$\rho(\theta)^{-\frac{1}{3}} = {\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{4}{3}}), \quad \theta\in[0,1] \tag{7.7}$$

for ρ_0 large enough, by (7.7) and the first equality of (7.5), we get

$$\frac{dt}{d\theta} = O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}}),\ \theta\in[0,1],$$

which implies that

$$t(\theta) = t_0 + O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad \theta \in [0,1] \tag{7.8}$$

for ρ_0 large enough. Substituting (7.6), (7.7), (7.8) into the second equality of (7.5), we have, for $\theta \in [0,1]$,

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\theta} = \frac{3T_* c^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4d} S(\theta T_*) f(t_0 + \rho_0^{-\frac{1}{3}}) + O(\rho_0^{-1}) \tag{7.9}$$

for ρ_0 large enough. An integration of (7.9) over $\theta \in [0,1]$ yields

$$\rho_1 = \rho_0 + O(\rho_0^{-\frac{1}{3}})$$

for ρ_0 large enough, where $\rho_1 = \rho(1)$. Substituting (7.7), (7.8) into the first equality of (7.5), we have, for $\theta \in [0,1]$

$$\frac{dt}{d\theta} = \frac{3}{4d} {\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{4}{3}}) \tag{7.10}$$

for r_0 large enough. An integration (7.10) over $\theta \in [0,1]$ yields

$$t_1 = t_0 + \frac{3}{4d} {\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{4}{3}}),$$

where $t_1 = t(1)$.

Then the Poincaré map P of (7.5) has the expansion

$$P : \begin{cases} t_1 = t_0 + \frac{3}{4d} {\rho_0}^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O({\rho_0}^{-\frac{4}{3}}), \\ \rho_1 = \rho_0 + O(\rho_0^{-\frac{1}{3}}). \end{cases}$$

7.3. Intersection property of the Poincaré map P of (7.5)

Since the Poincaré map P of (7.5) ia an exact symplectic map, then P possesses the intersection property which is guaranteed by Lemma 2.11.

To apply the small twist theorem obtained in Section 6, we need a fixed annulus, for this reason, introduce a new variable μ and a small parameter $\delta > 0$ by

$$\delta\mu = \frac{3}{4d} \rho^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \mu \in [1,2]. \tag{7.11}$$

Obviously, $\rho \gg 1 \Leftrightarrow \delta \ll 1$. Under this change of variable, the Poincaré map P of (7.5) is transformed into

$$\widetilde{P} : \begin{cases} t_1 = t_0 + \delta\mu_0 + \delta \tilde{f}_1(\mu_0, t_0, \delta), \\ \mu_1 = \mu_0 + \delta \tilde{f}_2(\mu_0, t_0, \delta), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$ are real analytic almost periodic in t_0 with the frequency $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$ and $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$.

Since (7.11) is a homeomorphism for ρ large enough, hence from the intersection property of P, we know $\widetilde{P}$ also has the intersection property. Now we apply Theorem 6.1 to prove the existence of almost periodic solutions and the boundedness of all solutions for (7.1).

7.4. The main results

Theorem 7.1. *Every solution of (7.1) with a real analytic almost periodic function $f(t) \in AP_r(\omega)$, ω satisfying the nonresonance condition (2.6) is bounded. Moreover (7.1) has infinitely many almost periodic solutions.*

Proof: From Theorem 6.1, we know that the Poincaré map $\widetilde{P}$ has invariant curves if δ is sufficiently small. If the conditions of Theorem 7.1 hold and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, therefore the assumptions of the Theorem 6.1 are met. Hence the existence of the invariant curves of $\widetilde{P}$ is guaranteed by Theorem 6.1, the invariant curves are real analytic almost periodic with frequencies $\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots)$. Undoing the change of variables we obtain the invariant curves of P. Then system (7.1) has infinitely many almost periodic solutions as well as the boundedness of solutions. □

Remark 7.2. *It follows from the proof of Theorem 7.1 that if the conditions of Theorem 7.1 hold, then system (7.2) has infinitely many almost periodic solutions with frequencies $\left\{\omega = (\cdots, \omega_\lambda, \cdots), \frac{1}{\delta\alpha}\right\}$ satisfying the following nonresonance condition*

$$\left|\langle k, \omega\rangle \frac{\delta\alpha}{2\pi} - j\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\Delta([[k]])\Delta(|k|)}, \qquad \text{for all } \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \{0\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\alpha \in \big[1 + \gamma, 2 - \gamma\big],$$

and γ, δ are sufficiently small.

References

References

[1] R. Dieckerhoff, E. Zehnder, *Boundedness of solutions via the twist theorem*, Ann. Sci. Norm. Sop. Pisa. Cl. Sci. 14 (1987) 79-95.

[2] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, Berlin. (1999).

[3] M. R. Herman, *Surles courbes invariantes par les difféomorphismes de l'anneau I*, Astrisque (1983) 103-104.

[4] M. R. Herman, *Surles courbes invariantes par les difféomorphismes de l'anneau II*, Astrisque No. 144 (1986).

[5] P. Huang, X. Li, B. Liu, *Invariant curves of smooth quasi-periodic mappings*, preprint

[6] P. Huang, X. Li, B. Liu, *Quasi-periodic solutions for an asymmetric oscillation*, preprint

[7] J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems in real and complex analysis*, (Heath, Lexington Mass.) (1968).

[8] M. Levi, *Quasiperiodic motions in superquadratic time-periodic potrntials*, Commun. Math. Phys. 143 (1986) 43-83.

[9] M. Levi, J. Moser, *A Lagrangian proof of the invariant curve theorem for twist mappings Smooth Ergodic Theory and its Applications*, (Seattle, WA, 1999) (Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol 69) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) (2001) pp 733-46.

[10] B. Liu, J. You, *Quasiperiodic solutions of Duffing's equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 33 (1998) 645-655.

[11] B. Liu, *Invariant curves of quasi-periodic reversible mapping*, Nonlinearity 18 (2005) 685-701.

[12] G. R. Morris, *A case of boundedness in Littlewood's problem on oscillatory differential equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 14 (1976) 71-93.

[13] J. Moser, *On invariant curves of area-perserving mappings of an annulus*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. -Phys. vol II (1962) pp 1-20.

[14] J. Moser, *Stable and Random Motions in Dynamical Systems*,(Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press).

[15] J. Moser, *A stability theorem for minimal foliations on a torus Ergod*, Theory Dynam. Syst. 8 (1988) 251-81.

[16] R. Ortega, *Boundedness in a piecewise linear oscillator and a variant of the small twist theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 79 (1999) 381-413.

[17] R. Ortega, *Invariant curves of mappings with averaged small twist*, Adv. Nonlinear Studies 1 (2001) 14-39.

[18] J. Pöschel, *Small Divisors with Spatial Structure in Infinite Dimensional Hamiltonian Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. 127 (1990) 351-393.

[19] H. Rüssmann, *Kleine Nenner I: Über invariante Kurven differenzierbarer Abbildungen eines Kreisringes*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II (1970) 67-105.

[20] C. Siegel and J. Moser, *Lectures on Celestial Mechanics*, (Berlin : Springer) (1997).

[21] V. Zharnitsky, *Invariant curve theorem for quasiperiodic twist mappings and stability of motion in the Fermi-Ulam problem*, Nonlinearity 13 (2000) 1123-36.